Site Plan ref: HG2-124 SHLAA ref: 1232B

Site Details

Easting	442381	Northing	432315	Site area ha	132.89	SP7	Major Settlement Extension
HMCA	Outer South	East			Ward	Garforth and Swillington	

Site Characteristics

Site type Greenfield

On-site land uses

Agriculture

Neighbouring land uses

Agriculture

Dwellings

Water storage

Unmanaged Forest

Other land uses - None

Topography	Flat and sloping	Landscape	No Tree Cover
Boundaries	Existing well defined	Road front	Yes

Description

Green belt site, all agricultural fields except a collection of farm sheds part of the eastern boundary. Bounded by housing (rear gardens) and some tree belts to the west, railway line to the north, road to the east with further fields beyond, and partly road to the south with fields beyond, also farm buildings/farm shop, a caravan park, a tree belt, and a covered reservoir.

Spatial relationships

UDP Designations

N32 Greenbelt	99.88	% overlap
N34 PAS	0.00	
RL1 Rural Land	0.00	
N1 Greenspace	0.00	
N1A Allotments	0.00	
N5 Open Space	0.00	
N6 Playing Pitch	0.00	
N8 Urban Green Corridor	0.00	
CC Shopping Quarter	0.00	
UDP City Centre	0.00	
S2S6 Town Centre	0.00	
Proposed Local Centre	0.00	
Sch. Ancient Mon.	0.00	

Core Strategy

Main Urban Area	0.00	% overlap
Major Settlement	1.00	
Minor Settlement	0.00	
Overlaps Urban Extension	✓	

Regeneration Areas

Inner South RA	0.00	% overlap
LB Corridor RA	0.00	
EASEL RA	0.00	
Aire Valley RA	0.00	
West Leeds Gateway	0.00	

Other Spatial Relationship

LCC ownership %	0.01
-----------------	------

Nearest train station	Ea	st Garforth
Nearest train station distance (1084.88	
Nearest bus s	8010	
Nearest bus stop distance (m)	732.30

Agricultural classification						
Grade	Percent					
Grade 2	95.7					
Grade 3	0.65					
Urban	0.34					
Grade 3b	3.31					

Site Plan rer: HG2-124 SHLAA rer: 1232	.b				
Overlaps SSSI		Overlaps	Public Right	of Way	✓
Overlaps SEGI			Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone		
Overlaps LNA		Ove	rlaps EA Flo	od Zone	
Overlaps LNR		Overlaps HSE Major Hazard Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline		Hazard	
Overlaps Conservation Area					
Overlaps Listed Building			Pot. Contar		
Overlaps N37 SLA		•	linerals Safe	_	
Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer	Overia	ps Minerais	s Safeguarde	ea 100m	✓
Greenbelt Assessment					
1. Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up	areas				
Would development lead to/constitute ribbon development		No			
Would development result in an isolated development?	J. 1C.	No			
Is the site well connected to the built up area?					
Would development round off the settlement?		Yes			
Is there a good existing barrier between the existing urb	oan area	No No			
and the undeveloped land?					
Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion Low potential to lead t	o unrestricte	ed sprawl			
2. Prevent neighbouring towns from merging					
Would development lead to physical connection of settle	ements?	No			
Do features provide boundaries to contain the developm	nent?	Yes			
Coalescence Conclusion No merging but would signific			belt gap		
3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from en	ıcroachme	nt			
Strong defensible boundary between site and urban area		No			
Does the site provide access to the countryside		Yes			
Does the site include local/national nature conservation	areas?	No			
Areas of protected/unprotected woodland/trees/hedgero		No			
Site includes Grade 1, Grade 2 or Grade 3a agricultural l		Yes			
Does the site contain buildings		Yes			
Are these buildings used for agricultural purposes?		Yes			
Encroachment Conclusion Site performs an important r	ole in safegi		m encroachr	ment	
4. Preserve the setting and special character of h	nistoric tow	vns			
Site within/adjacent to conservation area/listed building			No]
Can development preserve this character?	THIS COTTON TO	outui co.	INO		
Character Conclusion Marginal effect on the setting & s	pecial chara	cter. could	he mitigate	d against	through appropriate detailed design
raiginal effect of the Secting & S	- Pecial chara	iccery coura	De illiagates	a agamot	an ough appropriate detailed design
Overall Conclusion from assessment against all 4 characteristics of openness and permanence	purposes	of green	belt and es	sential	
Very large site that would result in significant encroach separation between Garforth and Micklefield. Good po					
Summary of infrastructure provider comme	ents				

LCC Highways Comments Public transport accessibility comments Rank (1-5) 10% 4bph, 10% rail, otherwise fails to meet standards 2 Access comments Large scale development, will require multiple access points, access positions on Ridge Road need to be considered in conjunction 5 with access to large development site opposite

Site Plan ref: HG2-124 SHLAA ref: 1232B

Local network comments				
	les, improvements to A63 corrido	or will be necessary.		
g	,	······································		4
Mitigation measures				Total scor
A63 corridor and M1 junction	n 47 improvements, linkages to	East Garforth will need to bee establ	shed.	11
Highways site support				
	ernal network capacity issues			
Contingent on other sites				
Highways England			1 22 12	
Impact Major impact	Network Status	Likely to require significant physic	cal mitigation	
Major concern to HA				
Network Rail				
Yorkshire Water				
Treatment Works				
Treatment Works				
Environment Agency				
Constraints				
LCC				
Ecology support Support	orted			
Ecology support Supp	orted			
Ecology boundary				
Education comments				
Flood Risk				
I IOOU KISK				
Utilities				
Gas				
Ela deda				
Electric				
Fire and Rescue				
Telecoms				
TCICCOTTO				

Site Plan ref: HG2-124 SHLAA ref: 1232B

Other
Other Heritage England
Natural England

Conclusions

Publication Draft Plan Allocation

Housing allocation

Publication Draft Plan Allocation Conclusion

Very large Green Belt site HG2-124 incorporates former sites 1244 and 3110 and is dependent on comprehensive development with masterplanning. Site capacity reduced to allow for build out rates in the plan period. The railway line to the north and existing roads to east and south create defensible boundaries. Site chosen through local preference, because it is further from HS2. A large site will improve opportunity for securing new facilities/services compared to smaller sites to the east and north of Garforth. Good road links and strong defensible boundaries. Development to include a green corridor/park to west of the site. Part of the site must be reserved for education use.